

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,565	12/05/2001	Albert Honey Perdon	SEDN/PRED150	7083	
56015 PATTERSON	7590 10/20/2008 & SHERIDAN, LLP/		EXAMINER		
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC			NEWLIN, TIMOTHY R		
595 SHREWS SUITE 100	BURY AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			2424		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/004,565	PERDON, ALBERT HONEY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Timothy R. Newlin	2424	

	Timodily 13. 14044iiii	2-12-1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhauster. Any CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause
(a) ☐ They raise flew issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
(Obside Meller)			
/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are not persuasive.

Regarding the finality of the previous Office Action: the Nguyen '091' reference is assumed to be entitled to the priority date of the provisional upon which it is based and which is cited on the reference's front page. Unless the relied upon subject matter is unsupported by the priority application, a prima facie case of obviousness is made and an effective rebuttal would need to address the substantive rejection. That the provisional differs in some respects and names more inventors is immaterial. Accordingly the final status of the previous action is maintained.

The applicant then attempts to distinguish a "sector" as claimed from the concentric rings in Nguyen. This is not persuasive. The claim defines a sector broadly, limited only to "spanning from a generally central area of layout to a generally peripheral area." The rings in Nguyen do in fact range from their inner radius, closer to the center, to an outer border, closer to the periphery. Moreover, even if a sector by definition required two bounding radii. Nguyen shows different listings in different radial sections of each rings. Thus they are divided into sectors by radii, even if if he radii are not visible on the guide.

With respect to listing a different genre in sector, each genre (e.g. Movie, News) is listed in a different area or sector of ring 520. The sectors are simply delineated by virtue of the label position rather than a line.